## S15 Effects on encouraging others to vaccinate measured as a scale

In the paper we examine willingness to encourage others to get vaccinated using a binary variable that focuses on those that are likely or very likely do so. Tables S23-S25 show that similar results hold for the underlying four-point scale. While the ordinal measure is more fine-grained, it also assumes that each unit increase in the outcome captures a similar change.

	Encourage others to get vaccinated scale (1)
Panel A: All countries po	
Any vaccine information	0.094***
	(0.023)
Outcome range	[1 2 2 4]
Control outcome mean	{1,2,3,4} 2.56
Control outcome std. dev	1.01
Observations	6,659
$R^2$	0.417
Panel B: Argentina	
Any vaccine information	0.073
	(0.056)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.44
Control outcome std. dev	0.99
Observations	1,109
$R^2$	0.389
Panel C: Brazil	0.0=0
Any vaccine information	0.079 (0.058)
	(0.050)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.45
Control outcome std. dev	1.12
Observations $R^2$	1,134
	0.483
Panel D: Chile	0.155**
Any vaccine information	(0.060)
	()
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.37
Control outcome std. dev Observations	1.06 1,080
$R^2$	0.418
Panel E: Colombia	
Any vaccine information	0.129**
	(0.052)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.64
Control outcome std. dev	0.97
Observations	1,085
$R^2$	0.447
Panel F: México	0.105*
Any vaccine information	0.105* (0.055)
	. ,
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean Control outcome std. dev	2.80 0.93
Observations	1,075
$R^2$	0.367
Panel G: Perú	
Any vaccine information	0.026
	(0.053)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.63
Control outcome std. dev	0.91
Observations	1,176
$R^2$	0.342

Table S23: Effect of any vaccine information on willingness to encourage others to get vaccinated scale. All specifications include country  $\times$  block fixed effects and (standardized) pre-treatment wait until vaccination as covariates (omitted to save space), weight observations by the inverse probability of treatment assignment, and are estimated using OLS. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. \* denotes p < 0.1, \*\* denotes p < 0.05, \*\*\* denotes p < 0.01 from two-sided t tests.

	Outcome variable:  Encourage others to get vaccinated scale (1)
Vaccine	0.083***
Vaccine + Herd 60%	$(0.030)$ $0.081^*$ $(0.042)$
Vaccine + Herd 70%	0.100**
Vaccine + Herd 80%	$(0.043)$ $0.080^*$ $(0.043)$
Vaccine + Herd 60% + Current	0.160*** (0.041)
Vaccine + Herd 70% + Current	0.116*** (0.042)
Vaccine + Herd 80% + Current	0.062
Vaccine + Biden	(0.042) $0.090***$ $(0.035)$
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.56
Control outcome std. dev	1.01
Observations $R^2$	6,659 0.397

Table S24: Effect of different types of vaccine information treatment on willingness to encourage others to get vaccinated scale. All specifications include country  $\times$  block fixed effects and (standardized) pre-treatment wait until vaccination as covariates (omitted to save space), weight observations by the inverse probability of treatment assignment, and are estimated using OLS. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. \* denotes p < 0.1, \*\* denotes p < 0.05, \*\*\* denotes p < 0.01 from two-sided t tests.

	Outcome variable Encourage others to get vaccinated scale
	(1)
Panel A: All countries po Altruism	oled 0.029
Aitruism	(0.028)
Economic recovery	0.043
6	(0.028) 0.080***
Social approval	(0.028)
_	
Outcome range Control outcome mean	{1,2,3,4} 2.60
Control outcome std. dev	1.01
Observations	6,659
$R^2$	0.395
Panel B: Argentina Altruism	0.012
	(0.069)
Economic recovery	0.006
Social approval	(0.067) 0.031
осын иррготы	(0.071)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.52
Control outcome std. dev	0.98
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	1,109 0.374
Panel C: Brazil	0.374
Altruism	0.022
	(0.066)
Economic recovery	0.001 (0.068)
Social approval	0.095
	(0.064)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.48
Control outcome std. dev	1.08
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	1,134 0.475
Panel D: Chile	
Altruism	0.078
Economic recovery	(0.074)
economic recovery	0.103 (0.071)
Social approval	0.094
	(0.074)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.48
Control outcome std. dev Observations	1.06 1,080
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	0.390
Panel E: Colombia	
Altruism	0.132**
Economic recovery	(0.064) 0.062
Economic recovery	(0.064)
Social approval	$0.107^{\circ}$
	(0.063)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean	2.65
Control outcome std. dev Observations	0.94 1,085
R <sup>2</sup>	0.411
Panel F: México	
Altruism	0.030 (0.069)
Economic recovery	0.069)
	(0.070)
Social approval	0.075
	(0.070)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean Control outcome std. dev	2.84 0.96
Observations	1,075
$R^2$	0.344
Panel G: Perú	
Altruism	-0.091 (0.069)
Economic recovery	0.029
	(0.068)
Social approval	0.086 (0.070)
Outcome range	{1,2,3,4}
Control outcome mean Control outcome std. dev	2.63 0.97
	0.91
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	1,176

Table S25: Effect of different types of motivational message on willingness to encourage others to get vaccinated scale. All specifications include country  $\times$  block fixed effects and (standardized) pre-treatment wait until vaccination as covariates (omitted to save space) and are estimated using OLS. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. \* denotes p < 0.1, \*\* denotes p < 0.05, \*\*\* denotes p < 0.01 from two-sided t tests.